Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park Ave., Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005

Helena, MT 59620-3005 Phone: (406) 841-2976

Email: shellysmith@mt.gov



SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,	3	Cause No. DC-21-120
	Plaintiff,	Gallatin County District Court
-vs-) Montana Eighteenth Judicial District
JAMES DEVION PHILLIPS,) Montana Eighteentii Judiciai District
and the second s		DECISION
	Defendant.)

On October 18, 2021, the Defendant was sentenced to the Department of Corrections for a term of thirty (30) years with twenty-five (25) years suspended for the offense of Count I: Aggravated Burglary, a Felony, in violation of §45-6-204(2), MCA. The sentence was ordered to run concurrently with the sentence in DJ-20-037.

The Court recommended the Defendant be placed in the Pine Hills Correctional Facility and attend and complete a sexual offender program by a licensed provider that includes a group therapy component. The Defendant received credit for 208 days previously served.

On May 5, 2022, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Pine Hills Correctional Facility and was represented by Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel. The State was not represented. The Defendant provided a statement. Mike and Christy Phillips, parents of the Defendant, were present but did not provide a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

DATED this 17th day of May, 2022.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon. Jessica Fehr, Chair

How. Day Wilson, Member

Mon. Luke Berger, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this _ /Staday of May, 2022, to:

Clerk of District Court – via email
James Devion Phillips #3031363, Defendant
Hon. Rienne H. McElyea – via email
Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel – via email
Jayden D. Johnson, Esq. – via email
Board of Pardons and Parole – via email
MSP - Records Dept. – via email

Shelly Smith Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division